

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2009-06-30
Date of Last Change to Activities:
Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-16
Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2012-02-16
Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-02-16
Date of Last Revision: 2012-05-14

Agency: 012 - Department of Labor **Bureau:** 05 - Employment and Training Administration

Investment Part Code: 04

Investment Category: 00 - Agency Investments

1. Name of this Investment: ETA - DOL eGrants

2. Unique Investment Identifier (Ull): 012-000002495

Section B: Investment Detail

- 1. Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.**

EGrants is an Enterprise class grants management system that is used by all grant making entities within the Department of Labor (DOL) and is a part of the DOL Grants Management Segment Architecture. EGrants supports the DOL Secretary's vision and goal of preparing workers for good jobs and ensuring fair compensation by effectively managing training grants and by reducing the time in the awarding of grants. EGrants is a scalable, web-based solution, which is comprised of four major modules: Pre-Award, Award, Post Award and Closeout. It is completely integrated with Grants.Gov, the government-side "store front" for grant postings to the general public as well as to the Central Contractor Registration database and two DOL systems; New Core Financial Management System (NCFMS) and EPS, DOL's E-procurement system. Its purpose is to ensure reliable and accurate department-wide grant-related financial information; reduce program office and administrative burden associated with the current grants management process; provide a single, secure, web-based user interface across all agencies; consolidate business processes; improve customer service through easy access to needed grant-related information for both internal and external stakeholders; provide management reporting capabilities; provide a way to track grant progress.

2. **How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.**

EGrants supports and processes grants for 7 agencies within DOL. It also provides services to the general public and other grant organizations by reducing the time to award grants and posting award information to a variety of government websites. The investment complies with the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act, the Joint Financial Management Improvement Program requirements for grants systems. Bizflow, a module within EGrants, allows program and finance users the ability to access standard and ad-hoc reports. Previously all report requirements needed to be supported by programmers and other technical personnel which could take an unreasonable amount of time and effort to produce. This module will allow the taxpayers to benefit directly from effective and efficient grants administration.

3. **Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.**

Major increase in data reliability between E-Grants and NCFMS through tighter systems integration. New data architecture initiative designed to: Reduce development time. Provide sharable grant data across projects. Provide blueprint for other related applications. Conform with DOL Enterprise Data Management initiative. Instituted formal release management program. Successful project transition to Omnibus contract.

4. **Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).**

CY Accomplishments: -Monitor/Maintain new administrative module for better tracking and document changes made to the system. -Complete the E-Grants merger with the National Emergency Grant system. -Re-integrate GEMS with E-Grants. BY Planned Accomplishments: -Completion of Phase II Administration Module. -Completion of Phase II Data Feed to Office of Public Affairs. -Completion of Phase I Panel Review module.

5. **Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.**

2010-08-04

Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding

	PY-1 & Prior	PY 2011	CY 2012	BY 2013
Planning Costs:	\$2.4	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Excluding Planning) Costs:	\$5.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs:	\$0.4	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE):	\$7.8	0	0	0
O & M Costs:	\$10.8	\$2.9	\$3.5	\$4.8
O & M Govt. FTEs:	\$0.7	\$0.2	\$0.2	\$0.2
Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE):	\$11.5	\$3.1	\$3.7	\$5.0
Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE):	\$19.3	\$3.1	\$3.7	\$5.0
Total Govt. FTE costs:	\$1.1	\$0.2	\$0.2	\$0.2
# of FTE rep by costs:	7	1	1	1
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$)		\$0.0	\$0.0	
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%)		0.00%	0.00%	

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy

Contract Type	EVM Required	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	IDV Agency ID	Solicitation ID	Ultimate Contract Value (\$M)	Type	PBSA ?	Effective Date	Actual or Expected End Date
Awarded		DOLJ109A30650									
Awarded		DOLF091A20824									

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

Earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement because it will be in operations and maintenance (O&M) phase for FY 2012 and FY 2013. In order to mitigate risks commonly associated with contracts, contractor performance is actively monitored by a certified PMP federal project lead and project manager and all work efforts begin with a Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) that includes assigned resources and cost projections. Bi-weekly, meetings are held with contractors and the Division Chief of Application Services to address any issues and/or bottlenecks to minimize impact on cost and schedule. The Office of Information Systems and Technology (OIST) Administrator conducts meetings with managers every week to discuss progress of the investments. Quarterly status of funds and Performance meetings are held on our IT contracts and discussions are conducted during quarterly progress reports. Schedules are maintained with our Account Representative and/or Project Officer.

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities:

Section B: Project Execution Data

Table II.B.1 Projects					
Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)
NONE					

Activity Summary								
Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities								
Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M)	End Point Schedule Variance (in days)	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$M)	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost (\$M)	Count of Activities
NONE								

Key Deliverables								
Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days)	Schedule Variance (%)
NONE								

Section C: Operational Data

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics

Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
E-Grants system Report capabilities	Number of Management reports	Customer Results - Service Coverage	Over target	11.000000	11.000000	10.000000	12.000000	Quarterly
eGrants system Grants Processes Time. Reduce the number of days required to grant award in the Pre-Award and Award phases of the process.	Number of days	Mission and Business Results - Services for Citizens	Over target	84.000000	84.000000	84.000000	84.000000	Quarterly
eGrants system Number of Grants transferred to Grants.Gov. % Of discretionary grant competitions transferred to Grants.Gov.	Percent of Grants	Process and Activities - Management and Innovation	Over target	100.000000	100.000000	100.000000	100.000000	Quarterly
eGrants system Submission time to Grants.Gov. Number of days between submissions of Grants applications from eGrants to HSS grants.gov for initiation.	Number of days	Process and Activities - Productivity	Over target	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	Quarterly
Number of delayed weaknesses Secure Data confidentiality and integrity (POA&Ms security)	Percent of decrease	Process and Activities - Security and Privacy	Under target	-1.000000	-1.000000	-1.000000	-1.000000	Quarterly
eGrants System availability	Percent of System Uptime	Technology - Reliability and Availability	Over target	93.000000	95.000000	95.000000	95.000000	Monthly
E-Grants system	Percent of Help Desk	Customer Results -	Over target	78.000000	78.000000	80.000000	78.000000	Quarterly

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics

Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
Timeliness of Help Desk calls/ issues resolved. A responsive help desk will help improve the quality and value of services and information.	Resolution	Service Coverage						
Customer satisfaction. Percentage of rating to the annually eGrants services survey filled out by the internal eGrants users. The survey scale of 1 to 5, 5 = excellent, 1 = failure.	Percent of user rating.	Customer Results - Customer Benefit	Over target	50.000000	50.000000	50.000000	50.000000	Quarterly